

Forum

of Stakeholders of the Project

„Preparation of documentation for River Training Works
on the Critical Sectors of the Danube River in Serbia“

Date:	17-08-2012
Duration:	10:00 - 17:15
Venue:	Ship Istrajni I (Directorate for Inland Waterways) - Beska-Belgrade
Host:	Directorate for Inland Waterways

Evaluation

of the work of the Forum by the Forum members

Please answer the following question by marking one of the grades. Grades are in the range 1-5, where grade 5 is the highest, while grade 1 is the lowest.

1. Please evaluate if the Forum is being organized and is performing in accordance to the General rules on organization and work of the Forum.

1 2 3 4 5

2. Please evaluate the performance of the chair person regarding provision of equal treatment of all members of the Forum.

1 2 3 4 5

3. Please evaluate the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meetings?

1 2 3 4 5

4. Please evaluate the level of active participation of the Forum members on Forum meetings.

Passive Moderate Very active

5. Do you think that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum?

Yes No

5.a. If no, please elaborate which field of interest is not properly represented in the Forum.



Analysis done by:	Ivan Mitrovic (Directorate for Inland Waterways)
Analysis performed on:	20-08-2012
Sent to Forum members on:	21-08-2012

Analysis of the results of the evaluation

Survey took place at the end of the third meeting of the Stakeholders Forum, held on 17th of August on the ship of the Directorate for Inland Waterways - Istrajni I. Seven out of nine Forum members participated at the survey (all members that participated at the meeting participated at the survey as well).

Q1: Please evaluate if the Forum is being organized and is performing in accordance to the General rules on organization and work of the Forum.

Average grade: **5**

All participants of the survey evaluated organization and performance of the Forum to be in accordance to the General rules on organization and work of the Forum.

Q2: Please evaluate the performance of the chair person regarding provision of equal treatment of all members of the Forum.

Average grade: **4.84**

Six participants of the survey evaluated performance of the chair person with the highest grade (5), while one participant evaluated it with 4, resulting in the average grade of 4.84.

Q3: Please evaluate the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meetings?

Average grade: **4.57**

Four participants of the survey evaluated the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meetings with the highest grade (5), while three participants evaluated it with 4, giving the average grade of 4.57.



Q4: Please evaluate the level of active participation of the Forum members at Forum meetings.

Five participants of the survey evaluated the level of active participation of the Forum members at the Forum meetings as very active, while two participants evaluated it as moderate.

Q5: Do you think that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum?

Five participants of the survey consider that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum, while two participants pointed out that private dredging companies, shipping companies, municipalities and sturgeon experts could be represented at the Forum as well.

We thank to the Forum members for taking part at this survey. We will do our best to improve our performances in the following period, in accordance to results of this survey.

